Is lost lean mass from intentional weight loss recovered during weight regain in postmenopausal women?1–3
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ABSTRACT
Background: Despite the well-known recidivism of obesity, surprisingly little is known about the composition of body weight during weight regain.
Objective: The objective of this study was to determine whether the composition of body weight regained after intentional weight loss is similar to the composition of weight lost.
Design: The design was a follow-up to a randomized controlled trial of weight loss in which body composition was analyzed and compared in 78 postmenopausal women before the intervention, immediately after the intervention, and 6 and 12 mo after the intervention.
Results: All body mass and composition variables were lower immediately after weight loss than at baseline (all P < 0.05). More fat than lean mass was lost with weight loss, which resulted in body-composition changes favoring a lower percentage of body fat and a higher lean-to-fat mass ratio (P < 0.001). Considerable interindividual variability in weight regain was noted (CV = 1.07). In women who regained ≥2 kg body weight, a decreasing trend in the lean-to-fat mass ratio was observed, which indicated greater fat mass accretion than lean mass accretion (P < 0.001). Specifically, for every 1 kg fat lost during the weight-loss intervention, 0.26 kg lean tissue was lost; for every 1 kg fat regained over the following year, only 0.12 kg lean tissue was regained.
Conclusions: Although not all postmenopausal women who intentionally lose weight regain it within 1 y, the data suggest that fat mass is regained to a greater degree than is lean mass in those who do experience some weight regain. The health ramifications of our findings remain to be seen. Am J Clin Nutr doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.004895.

INTRODUCTION

Many health complications associated with overweight and obesity are improved with weight loss. However, negative consequences (such as loss of muscle mass and bone density) are also associated with weight loss and are detrimental for older adults, which results in a reluctance to recommend intentional weight loss in this population (1–3). Notably, caloric restriction simultaneously results in reductions in both fat and lean tissue, with lean mass loss ranging from 14% to 23% of total weight lost (4). Because lean mass loss in older adults may be associated with the development of adverse health events and disability (5, 6), it is important to examine whether the benefits of weight loss outweigh the risks in this population.

Another factor complicating the promotion of weight loss among older adults is that weight regain is an intractable problem. Only 20% of people in the general population are successful at long-term weight-loss maintenance (7). Most individuals who voluntarily lose weight regain 30% of their lost weight within 1 y, and ≥95% within 5 y (8), independent of initial weight loss (9). Although therapeutic factors in the long-term treatment of obesity have been identified (10), efforts to maintain clinically significant weight loss (11) remain largely unsuccessful. Therefore, for most, weight regain in the setting of intentional weight loss is an expected occurrence.

Given the well-known recidivism of obesity, surprisingly little is known about the composition of body weight with weight regain. Most studies on weight-reduction interventions have relatively short follow-up periods and do not include information on body composition. As such, there is limited and conflicting information on changes in body composition with weight regain after successful weight loss. Data from a small prospective study in obese, middle-aged women found that 4 y after a successful weight-loss intervention, mean overall weight was not significantly different from baseline; however, lean mass was significantly greater than the mean baseline value (12). In contrast, an observational study showed that, among community-dwelling older adults, significantly more lean mass was lost with overall weight loss than was gained with overall weight gain over 2- and 4-y periods, both as a function of age (13) and weight cycling (14). Given these discrepant results, and the lack of knowledge regarding body-composition changes with weight regain in older adults, the purpose of this study was to examine whether the composition of body weight gained in the 6 and 12 mo subsequent to a successful weight-loss intervention is similar to the composition of weight lost in postmenopausal women. A secondary purpose was to examine whether changes in body-fat distribution, measured via waist and hip size, were similar during weight loss and weight regain.
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SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Design overview

This article presents data from a randomized clinical trial originally designed to determine whether the intensity of aerobic exercise affects the loss of abdominal adipose tissue in abdominally obese postmenopausal women, under controlled conditions of isocaloric deficit. Study design and recruitment details were previously published (15). Briefly, this study was a 5-mo trial that compared the following treatment arms: 1) caloric restriction alone, 2) caloric restriction plus moderate-intensity aerobic exercise, and 3) caloric restriction plus vigorous-intensity exercise. Women from Forsyth County, NC, and the surrounding areas were recruited through local advertisements and were enrolled on the basis of the following criteria: 1) abdominal obesity [BMI (in kg/m²): 25–40]; and waist circumference >88 cm], 2) age (50–70 y), 3) postmenopausal status (no menses for >1 y), 4) nonsmoking (for >1 y), 5) not receiving hormone replacement therapy, 6) sedentary (<15 min exercise 2 times/wk in the past 6 mo), and 7) weight stable (<5% weight change) for >6 mo before enrollment. After the calorie-restriction interventions, women were asked to return for 6- and 12-mo follow-up visits to monitor changes in body weight, composition, and fat distribution. No contact by study personnel was made during this interim period. The study was approved by the Wake Forest University Institutional Review Board, and all participants signed university approved informed consent documents.

Weight-loss interventions and follow-up

The weight-loss interventions were previously described in detail (15). Briefly, all women in the study underwent caloric restriction for 5 mo. The caloric restriction consisted of a controlled program of underfeeding during which all food was prepared and provided at individual calorie levels by our metabolic kitchen. Individual energy needs were calculated from each participant’s measured resting metabolic rate and an activity factor based on self-reported daily activity. The degree of caloric restriction was adjusted so that total caloric deficit (~400 kcal/d; 2800 kcal/wk; ~1675 kJ/d; 11,725 kJ/wk) was similar for all groups. All exercise sessions (3 d/wk) took place in our supervised center.

At the end of the 5-mo weight-loss intervention, women were asked to return for anthropometric and body-composition measures 6 and 12 mo after the intervention (11 and 17 mo, respectively). The women were provided with one counseling session with a registered dietitian concerning strategies for maintenance of weight loss at the end of the 5-mo weight-loss intervention. With the exception of scheduling phone calls and the 6- and 12-mo follow-up visits, no other contact by study-related personnel was provided during the 12-mo follow-up period. A total of 95 women completed their assigned 5-mo weight-loss intervention, and 78 and 73 women returned for the 6- and 12-mo follow-up visits, respectively.

Body weight, composition, and fat-distribution measures

Height and weight were measured with shoes and outer garments removed. BMI was calculated as weight (in kg) divided by the square of height (in m). Whole-body fat mass, lean mass, and percentage body fat were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (Hologic Delphi A 11.0 QDR; Hologic), and the lean-to-fat mass ratio was calculated. Waist (minimal circumference) and hip (maximal gluteal protuberance) were measured in triplicate, and the waist-to-hip-ratio (WHR) was calculated. All measurements were performed at baseline, immediately after the intervention, and 6 and 12 mo after the intervention.

Statistical analysis

All numerical summaries and statistical comparisons were conducted by using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc), and the type I error rate (α) was held constant at 0.05 for all tests. Data are reported as means ± SDs. Absolute changes in body weight, composition, and fat-distribution variables were calculated as the baseline value subtracted from the follow-up values (5, 11, and 17 mo). Differences between body weight, composition, and fat-distribution data over time were determined with paired t tests. Distributions of individual changes in body weight during the follow-up periods were plotted as frequency histograms.

The primary purpose of this study was to assess the relative changes in body composition in response to weight regain after a weight-loss intervention. To this end, the mean change in lean-to-fat mass ratios during the time of the weight-loss intervention and after the intervention were modeled by using a repeated-measures analysis. Age, intervention group, race, and baseline BMI were included as covariates in the model. The model also contained time-period indicator variables for the 5 mo of intervention and the 6- and 12-mo postintervention periods. The change in lean-to-fat mass ratio served as the outcome measure and was defined as the difference between the 5 mo and baseline ratio, and the difference between both postintervention ratios and the 5-mo ratio.

To have comparable time frames, the 5-mo weight-loss period and the first 6 mo after the intervention were the primary foci of the study. The 12-mo postintervention time point was a secondary interest, and those analyses used 68 subjects with complete baseline and 5-, 11-, and 17-mo data. However, only the 78 subjects with complete baseline and 5- and 11-mo body-composition data were included in the model. With the use of the time-indicator variables in the model, least-squares means were calculated to estimate the change in lean-to-fat mass ratios for the 3 time periods. Statistical comparisons tested whether time-period specific lean-to-fat mass ratios were different from zero and whether body-composition changes during the 6- and 12-mo follow-up periods differed from the changes during the weight-loss phase. Participants were then stratified by weight-regain status, where weight regain was defined as a gain ≥2 kg from the postintervention time point measured at either 6 or 12 mo, and the analyses were rerun. As a secondary analysis on those subjects with weight regain, univariate linear regression models were run to assess the relation between the changes in lean and fat mass during and 12 mo after the intervention.

RESULTS

Main results from the 5-mo weight-loss intervention were previously published (15). Briefly, average weight loss for the 95 women who completed the study was 12.1 ± 4.5 kg (13.4 ± 4.6%) and was not significantly different between intervention groups. Both fat and lean mass decreased significantly with each intervention (P < 0.001), and the absolute decrease in lean mass was similar between groups.
The results provided here are from the subset of participants who completed the follow-up portion of the study (n = 78 and 68 for the 6- and 12-mo follow-up visits, respectively). Mean (±SD) changes in body weight, composition, and fat distribution with weight loss and during follow-up to weight loss are presented in Table 1. All body mass and composition variables were significantly improved immediately after weight loss compared with baseline (all P < 0.05). On average, the weight-loss interventions reduced body weight by 11.55 ± 4.13 kg (12.9 ± 4.2%; P < 0.001), fat mass by 8.19 ± 3.29 kg (20.7 ± 7.9%; P < 0.001), and lean mass by 3.65 ± 1.90 kg (7.0 ± 3.5%; P < 0.001). More fat than lean mass was lost with weight loss, which resulted in body-composition changes favoring a lower percentage of body fat and a higher lean-to-fat mass ratio (P < 0.001). Both waist and hip circumferences were reduced with weight loss (both P < 0.05) and weight loss reduced WHR (P = 0.007), which indicated greater declines in waist than hip girth.

### Body weight changes during follow-up

Weight regain did not vary by intervention group (P = 0.11). On average, lost body weight was regained during the 6-mo (+1.72 ± 4.77 kg) and 12-mo (+3.65 ± 6.78 kg) of follow-up, but was still significantly lower than baseline (by 11% and 9% after 6 and 12 mo, respectively; Table 1). However, considerable individual variability in weight regain was observed, as noted in Figure 1. Fifty-three of 78 (68%) women at the 6-mo follow-up and 52 of 68 (76%) women at the 12-mo follow-up had regained some of their weight lost during the intervention. Eleven women (16%) weighed more at the 12-mo follow-up than they did at baseline, and 16 (24%) women continued losing weight after the intervention. Moreover, 75% of those who regained weight gained >2 kg at the 6-mo follow-up, and this number increased to 84% at the 12-mo follow-up. This subgroup of “regainers” (ie, women who gained ≥2 kg during the follow-up period) was subsequently used to examine our question of whether lost lean mass from intentional weight loss was recovered during weight regain (see below).

### Changes in body composition during follow-up

Overall, lean mass did not significantly change during the follow-up period and, at 12-mo of follow-up, was still lower than baseline (P < 0.001). On the other hand, average fat mass was higher at both 6 (P = 0.02) and 12 (P < 0.001) mo than at the postintervention time point; however, fat mass was also still lower than baseline (18.4 ± 13.8% and −14.4 ± 15.5% at 6 and 12 mo, respectively). Accordingly, by 12 mo, the lean-to-fat mass ratio had decreased significantly (P = 0.01) from the postintervention time point, but was still significantly greater than that at baseline (P < 0.001).

To determine whether body composition changed similarly during weight loss and during follow-up to weight loss (eg, whether the amount of lean mass changed with respect to fat mass), we conducted a repeated-measures analysis modeling the ratio of lean mass to fat mass over time. Adjustment was made for age, group, race, and baseline BMI. The results showed a noticeable decreasing trend in this ratio overall (Table 1), which indicated greater fat mass than lean mass accretion as time goes beyond the weight-loss intervention period. Moreover, the direction of this relation differs by weight-regain status (Table 2).

In women who did not gain ≥2 kg (n = 24) in the year after the intervention, a positive increase for lean-to-fat mass ratio (least-squares mean > 0) was observed, whereas a decrease (least-squares mean < 0) was observed for women who gained ≥2 kg body weight (n = 54). This suggests that fat mass was increasing to a greater degree than was lean mass in women who regained weight during the postintervention period.

The composition of the weight lost during the 5-mo weight-loss interventions and the composition of the weight regained during follow-up to weight loss in the women who regained ≥2 kg body weight are shown in Figure 2. Of the weight lost during the intervention, 67% was fat and 33% was lean tissue. Of the weight regained during the first 6-mo of follow-up to weight loss, 79% was fat and 21% was lean tissue. During the entire 12 mo of follow-up, 81% of weight regained was fat and 19% was lean tissue. On average, by 12 mo after the intervention, 26% of fat mass lost was regained, whereas only 6% of lean mass lost was regained.

We also examined the relation between changes in lean mass and changes in fat mass during both weight loss and weight regain (n = 54; Figure 3). These changes were more strongly correlated during weight loss than during weight regain (r = 0.39 and r = 0.16, respectively). Furthermore, these data showed that for every 1 kg fat lost during the weight-loss intervention, 0.26 kg

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline (n = 78)</th>
<th>After weight loss (5 mo; n = 78)</th>
<th>Δ5 mo − baseline</th>
<th>After 6 mo of follow-up (11 mo; n = 78)</th>
<th>Δ11 mo − baseline</th>
<th>After 12 mo of follow-up (17 mo; n = 68)</th>
<th>Δ17 mo − baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>89.76 ± 11.15</td>
<td>78.21 ± 10.57</td>
<td>−11.55 ± 4.13</td>
<td>79.93 ± 12.22</td>
<td>−9.83 ± 7.12</td>
<td>81.15 ± 13.49</td>
<td>−8.12 ± 8.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>33.35 ± 3.79</td>
<td>29.06 ± 3.63</td>
<td>−4.29 ± 1.52</td>
<td>29.70 ± 4.29</td>
<td>−3.65 ± 2.60</td>
<td>30.29 ± 4.71</td>
<td>−2.80 ± 3.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat mass (kg)</td>
<td>39.59 ± 6.82</td>
<td>31.35 ± 6.61</td>
<td>−8.19 ± 3.29</td>
<td>32.32 ± 8.07</td>
<td>−7.22 ± 5.78</td>
<td>33.87 ± 8.98</td>
<td>−6.55 ± 6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean mass (kg)</td>
<td>52.22 ± 5.71</td>
<td>48.57 ± 5.63</td>
<td>−3.65 ± 1.90</td>
<td>48.81 ± 5.81</td>
<td>−3.42 ± 2.12</td>
<td>48.45 ± 5.92</td>
<td>−3.36 ± 2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean-to-fat mass ratio</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.32</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>42.91 ± 3.28</td>
<td>38.94 ± 4.15</td>
<td>−3.97 ± 2.20</td>
<td>39.33 ± 5.01</td>
<td>−3.58 ± 3.87</td>
<td>40.59 ± 5.26</td>
<td>−3.07 ± 4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist girth (cm)</td>
<td>97.93 ± 8.31</td>
<td>86.66 ± 8.61</td>
<td>−9.27 ± 4.42</td>
<td>89.97 ± 10.33</td>
<td>−8.05 ± 5.59</td>
<td>89.91 ± 10.97</td>
<td>−7.45 ± 6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip girth (cm)</td>
<td>118.78 ± 9.06</td>
<td>109.10 ± 9.07</td>
<td>−9.68 ± 4.47</td>
<td>110.73 ± 10.33</td>
<td>−8.12 ± 7.05</td>
<td>112.19 ± 11.40</td>
<td>−6.83 ± 8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.06</td>
<td>−0.01 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.07</td>
<td>−0.01 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.06</td>
<td>−0.02 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All values are means ± SDs. WHR, waist-hip ratio. n = 77 for waist girth, hip girth, and WHR at 11 mo and subsequent calculations; n = 65 for waist girth, hip girth, and WHR at 17 mo and subsequent calculations. Differences between body weight, composition, and fat-distribution data over time were determined with paired t tests. All body mass and composition variables were significantly improved immediately after weight loss compared with baseline and remained significantly improved during the 12-mo follow up period (all P < 0.05).
lean tissue was lost and that, for every 1 kg fat regained over the following year, only 0.12 kg lean tissue was regained (Figure 3). However, these results are only suggestive because the 2 slopes were not significantly different ($P = 0.28$).

**Changes in body-fat distributions during follow-up**

WHR was unchanged from after the intervention during the first 6 mo of follow-up ($P = 0.91$); however, WHR did decrease significantly by 12 mo ($P = 0.03$). The mean follow-up values were still significantly lower than the value at baseline ($-1.4 \pm 4.9\%$ and $-2.1 \pm 5.2\%$ at 6 and 12 mo, respectively). Waist and hip girth measures increased during the postintervention period, but these values remained significantly less than what was observed at baseline (all $P < 0.001$).

**DISCUSSION**

This study examined whether, and how, body-composition changes with weight regain in postmenopausal women. The novel findings were that, after significant (13%) weight reduction induced by adherence to a 5-mo hypocaloric diet, women who regained ≥2 kg lost weight had a reduced lean-to-fat mass ratio. This suggests that, for these women, fat mass was regained to a greater degree than was lean mass. Importantly, considerable variability was observed with weight regain status. Although more than two-thirds of women regained >2 kg during the 12-mo follow-up period, 84% weighed less at the 1-y follow-up than they did at baseline. In addition, although the average fat mass was higher at both 6 and 12 mo than at the postintervention time point, absolute fat mass was still lower than that at baseline.
Our results for the prevalence of weight regain are more encouraging than are other findings in the literature. In a 1999 study by McGuire et al (7), successful weight-loss maintenance [defined as achieving an intentional weight loss of ≥10% of initial body weight and maintaining this weight loss for 1 y (16)] was shown to occur in 20% of overweight/obese persons. On the basis of this definition, 46% (31/68) of the women in our study would be considered successes. Because female sex is a known predictor of weight-loss maintenance (17), this may explain our increased number of successes. Additionally, the prevalence reported by McGuire et al was from a community-based sample, whereas our participants were highly motivated research participants. Considering the amount of weight regained, our study found an average sustained weight loss of 3.65 kg 1 y after the intervention. These results concur with those from a recent meta-analysis of weight-loss outcomes in weight-loss clinical trials with a minimum of 1 y of follow-up, which showed that a weight loss of ~3–4 kg is maintained after the intervention (18).

Diet-induced weight loss results in a decrease in both fat and lean mass. Previous studies have reported that ~75% of weight loss is attributable to fat mass loss and 25% to lean mass loss (19–21). Our results indicate a slightly higher contribution of lean mass loss to total weight loss (31% of lost weight was lean mass and 69% was fat mass), but they generally agree with the findings of previous studies. Little is known about the composition of weight change across weight cycling, especially in older adults. A recent observational study showed that for each 1 kg weight lost, there was an average of 0.06 kg lean mass lost over a 2-y period in older (70–79 y) women. Moreover, for each 1 kg weight gained during a 2-y weight-gain period, there was...

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
& \text{Adjusted change in lean-to-fat mass ratio LSM} & \text{SE} & \text{Baseline to 5 mo} & \text{H}_0: \text{LSM} = 0 \\
\hline
\text{All} & & & & & \\
\text{Baseline to 5 mo} & 0.25 & 0.03 & <0.001 & 0.682 \\
\text{5–11 mo} & -0.01 & 0.03 & <0.001 & 0.001 \\
\text{5–17 mo} & -0.09 & 0.03 & <0.001 & 0.001 \\
\text{Non–2-kg gainers (n = 24)} & & & & & \\
\text{Baseline to 5 mo} & 0.31 & 0.06 & <0.001 & 0.682 \\
\text{5–11 mo} & 0.19 & 0.06 & 0.025 & 0.003 \\
\text{5–17 mo} & 0.11 & 0.06 & 0.005 & 0.070 \\
\text{≥2-kg gainers (n = 54)} & & & & & \\
\text{Baseline to 5 mo} & 0.23 & 0.02 & <0.001 & 0.001 \\
\text{5–11 mo} & -0.09 & 0.02 & <0.001 & 0.001 \\
\text{5–17 mo} & -0.19 & 0.02 & <0.001 & 0.001 \\
\end{array}
\]

Values were adjusted for age, group, race, and BMI. In women who did not gain ≥2 kg body weight (n = 24), a greater positive direction for lean-to-fat mass ratio [least-squares mean (LSM) >0] was observed, whereas the less desirable direction (LSM <0) was observed for women who gained ≥2 kg body weight. The LSM was for adjusted change in the lean-to-fat mass ratio. H\(_0\) assumes the null hypothesis of no change in lean to fat ratio over time.

**FIGURE 2.** Composition of weight lost during the 5-mo intervention and composition of weight regained over the 6- and 12-mo follow-up (F/U) period in women who regained ≥2 kg body mass. n = 54 at 5 mo after the intervention and at the 6-mo follow-up; n = 44 at the 12-mo follow-up.
an average of 0.32 kg lean mass gained in women (22). Our study found that, for every 1 kg weight lost during the weight-loss intervention, 0.32 kg lean tissue was lost and for every 1 kg weight regained over the following year, only 0.08 kg lean tissue was regained. Thus, in this randomized controlled trial, proportionally more lean mass relative to total body mass was lost during the weight-loss period than was gained during the weight-regain period.

The health ramifications of our findings remain to be seen. On the one hand, lean mass lost during weight loss was not fully recovered during weight regain, which rendered the women more “sarcopenic obese” than they were before the intervention. Whereas it is intuitive that having high levels of fat mass together with low muscle mass may lead to more functional limitations (23) and metabolic disorders (24), some studies suggest that muscle quality, rather than muscle quantity, may be the more important predictor of health risk (25–27). In the Health, Aging, and Body Composition Study cohort, for example, muscle strength but not mass was independently associated with an increased risk of mobility loss (26) and mortality (27). Unfortunately, data on muscle strength or quality were not evaluated in our current study and will be the focus of future investigations. Moreover, because aging is accompanied with a progressive increase in fat mass (28) and loss in muscle mass (13), without a nonweight loss control group, we do not know whether regainers are more “sarcopenic obese” than they would have become over the course of 17 mo without the weight-loss intervention. On the other hand, however, the moderate absolute reduction in weight (−9%) and fat mass (−14%) maintained at the 12-mo follow-up is associated with cardioprotective benefit (29, 30). Intentional weight loss of this magnitude can improve or prevent many of the obesity-related risk factors for coronary heart disease (31, 32) and diabetes (33) and is associated with prolonged survival (34, 35). We previously showed that, in a 6-mo weight-loss intervention on muscle strength and quality in older obese adults, greater fat loss was associated with greater gains in muscle strength and quality.
Despite the loss of lean body mass (36). Thus, the benefits of weight and fat mass loss may trump any potential negative complications of lost lean mass.

As mentioned previously, weight regain was not ubiquitous and for the “nongainers” the lean-to-fat mass ratio 12-mo after the intervention was similar to that immediately after weight loss. Although our study did not attempt to capture the phenotypic differences between gainers and nongainers, several articles have been published on successful strategies to maintain weight loss. In animals, regular exercise after weight loss attenuates the metabolic drive to regain weight (37) and, in humans, successful weight maintenance is associated with greater physical activity (38). These findings were likely due, in part, to attenuated suppression of resting energy expenditure, which results from caloric restriction and is a risk factor for weight regain (39, 40). Dietary composition and approach during weight loss may also influence weight-loss maintenance success. Hypocaloric diets high in protein (41, 42) and diets low in saturated but high in monounsaturated fat (43) may reduce weight regain. In another study, the large initial weight loss achieved by individuals following a very-low-energy diet approach to weight loss was not maintained over time, whereas individuals who had used a self-guided approach maintained their initial weight loss with greater success (44). Finally, in a comprehensive study that examined the behavioral and psychological predictors of weight regain after significant weight loss, Wing et al (45) found that decreases in physical activity and increases in depressive symptoms, disinhibition, and hunger were related to weight regain, whereas increased frequency of self-weighing and dietary restraint were found to be protective. Application of these findings to aid in the development of successful weight-loss-maintenance strategies needs to be the focus of the next generation of weight-loss clinical trials. Further research is also needed to determine the predictors of where excess energy is stored (as fat or lean tissue) when lost weight is regained. Previous work has shown that weight regain is negatively correlated with baseline fat mass (46, 47), also known as the fat-free mass sparing effect, but whether baseline body composition predicts regain of more fat or lean tissue is not known.

In conclusion, our study showed that, although not all postmenopausal women who lose weight will regain it within 1 y, fat mass is regained to a greater degree than is lean mass in those who do. The strengths of this study were the long-term follow-up and the sophisticated body-composition techniques used. Unfortunately, without a “normal aging” control group we were unable to determine whether the regainers were more “sarcopenic obese” than they would have been without the weight-loss intervention. Additionally, we did not analyze muscle strength and quality, which are more important than muscle mass in predicting clinical outcomes. Last, the results from this study are limited to sedentary, abdominally obese, postmenopausal women, and the findings may differ in men or in younger populations. Future studies of weight cycling are needed to determine its effects on muscle strength, quality, and function and body composition in older adults after weight loss is regained.
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