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ABSTRACT
Despite evidence that nutrition interventions reduce morbidity and mortality, malnutrition, including obesity, remains highly prevalent in hospitals and plays a major role in nearly every major chronic disease that affects patients. Physicians recognize that they lack the education and training in medical nutrition needed to counsel their patients and to ensure continuity of nutrition care in collaboration with other health care professionals. Nutrition education and training in specialty and subspecialty areas are inadequate, physician nutrition specialists are not recognized by the American Board of Medical Specialties, and nutrition care coverage by third payers remains woefully limited. This article focuses on residency and fellowship education and training in the United States and provides recommendations for improving medical nutrition education and practice. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;99(suppl):1174S–83S.

INTRODUCTION
Medical education and training in nutrition continue to be inadequate despite strong evidence that hospital malnutrition is highly prevalent and affects patient outcomes and costs of care. Undernutrition in hospitals can be as high as 60% in adults (1, 2) and 40% in children (3) and higher when obesity is considered. Nutrition studies in intensive care units (ICUs) show improved survival, health outcomes, and shortened length of stay (4–7), however, a recent international study of 179 ICUs show a low average nutritional practice performance with 7 of the bottom 10 ICUs located in the United States (8). Geriatric patients, who account for nearly half of all hospitalized patients, are less likely to die 1 y after discharge if they have had a nutrition assessment (9, 10). In 1997, a survey of 19 US hospitals concluded that >$1000 per patient would have been saved with higher-quality nutrition care (11). These findings underscore the need for improved nutrition training of residents and specialty care physicians.

Nutrition needs not only should be addressed in hospitals but also in ambulatory care clinics. Chronic diseases (eg, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and cancer) account for 7 of the top 10 leading causes of death and 70% of all medical expenses in the United States (12). Primary prevention of chronic diseases can be accomplished by addressing a handful of modifiable behaviors, including dietary intake and physical activity (13). The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommends that clinicians screen all adult patients and children ≥6 y old for obesity and offer intensive counseling and behavioral interventions to control weight (B recommendations) (14). Unfortunately, limited reimbursement for dietary counseling constitutes a major barrier to adequate delivery of these preventive services (15).

Health care reform must address delivery and outcomes and will ultimately require reform in the education of health care professionals. A renewed effort for establishing nutrition education in the training of physicians is critical to the success of needed health system changes. Unfortunately, inadequate physician nutrition specialist (PNS) role models are a significant obstacle to improving physicians’ delivery of nutrition care (16–20). The purpose of this article is to review information relevant to medical nutrition education from the hospital to the community settings and to provide examples of programs and models from a variety of fields that are used to improve nutrition education in postgraduate medical training. To help organize the broad range of information available in the medical literature, we have framed our discussion around 6 critical questions to consider when developing a residency or a fellowship nutrition program.

QUESTION 1: WHAT SHOULD BE THE FOCUS OF RESIDENCY AND FELLOWSHIP TRAINING IN NUTRITION?

Literature addressing the nutrition knowledge or skills of resident and fellow physicians is mostly limited to self-report surveys. Results from board examination have not been published,
contain relatively few nutrition questions (21), and results are made available only to medical school deans and residency training program directors. Mean nutrition knowledge scores from program evaluations is in the range of 50–77% for both medical residents (22–24) and gastroenterology fellows (25, 26) and appear to reflect only modest short-term retention of information. Studies also show misconceptions regarding the benefit of proper nutrition care. The limited available data suggest that residency and subspecialty trainees are ill prepared to address the nutritional needs of their patients.

Medical resident and fellowship training must address nutrition knowledge, attitudes, and practice skills. New approaches are needed to improve the nutrition skills of residents, fellows, and attending physicians (27). Specific areas of clinical focus should fulfill the practice needs of each specialty (28). For example, trainees in surgery, gastroenterology, and intensive care should focus on hospitalized patients and nutrition support (eg, parenteral and enteral nutrition). Residents and fellows in specialties emphasizing ambulatory practice, such as internal medicine, family practice, pediatrics, obstetrics and gynecology (Ob-Gyn), and endocrinology should focus on counseling skills (eg, for lifestyle changes) and topics such as medical nutrition therapy. Thus, training requirements differ not only with area of specialization but also with the setting in which these skills will be used. In addition, nutrition care requires an interdisciplinary team approach in which patients are appropriately referred to allied health professionals and available community resources. Likewise, an understanding of how nutrition concepts and skills are applied across various clinical settings is integral to effective care.

QUESTION 2: ARE THERE EXAMPLES OF EFFECTIVE METHODS TO IMPROVE CLINICAL NUTRITION SKILLS?

The limited time available to primary care physicians during an office visit and the moderate success of brief physician-driven nutrition counseling trials (29) have resulted in recommendations advocating the use of the 5As (Assess, Advise, Agree, Assist, Arrange) framework to guide practice (Table 1). The barriers to effective counseling relevant for nutrition include the following: 1) uncertainty regarding the effectiveness of nutrition counseling; 2) inadequate skills in providing nutrition counseling; 3) lack of financial incentives; 4) lack of a systematic, organized approach within the practice; 5) needed tools; and 6) collaboration with other health care professionals (29, 30).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The 5As framework to guide practice</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5As</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrange</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1 Source: reference 29. 5As, Assess, Advise, Agree, Assist, Arrange.

Uncertainty regarding the effectiveness of nutrition counseling

The USPSTF has conducted systematic reviews of the literature that document the effectiveness of intensive counseling to prevent and treat obesity (14). Several large clinical trials aimed at improving preventive services utilization with added nutrition counseling support this approach (27–32). For example, a study in primary care internists in Massachusetts showed that the 5As method combined with practice management strategies improved patient outcomes (31), whereas another study showed that counseling by a dietitian provided added benefit (33).

The Obesity Counseling Workshop for pediatric and internal medicine residents (34) is an example of an approach that addresses the barriers implemented in a medical residency program. Based on the 5As and incorporating key principles of motivational interviewing, participants reported likely improvement of their obesity counseling skills. These studies indicate that both residents and practicing physicians are receptive to training in counseling frameworks that support behavior change among their patients.

Inadequate skills in providing nutrition counseling

Both Healthy People 2010 and the USPSTF include recommendations to increase the physician’s role in the provision of nutrition care to patients. One objective is to improve counseling and lifestyle changes during office visits for patients with a diagnosis of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes (T2D), or hypertension from 40% to 75% (35). Registered dietitians, pediatricians, and primary care nurse practitioners all expressed concern about pediatric obesity and its complications and felt that interventions were important, but they identified barriers including the following: limited parental involvement, patient motivation, and support services, as well as low proficiency in physician counseling–related skills needed to manage pediatric patients effectively (36, 37). A systematic review of cardiovascular disease prevention and treatment reported that the proportion of physicians counseling about nutrition and physical activity was low and that few physicians engaged in intensive counseling approaches (38).

Limited financial incentives and reimbursement

The American Diabetes Association estimates that the number of Americans living with T2D will double in the next 25 y to Ͼ44 million, which will have a major impact on the US health care system. The 2007 health care costs of managing T2D totaled $116 billion, with approximately half of that amount spent on hospital care for largely preventable T2D-related complications. Carpenter et al (39) conducted a study of diabetes self-management coverage information by Medicaid and private insurance providers in 10 states. They showed that despite the evidence of cost-effectiveness of T2D education, only 50% of Medicaid and 55% of sampled private insurance plans offered coverage for diabetes self-management education. They noted that Medicaid’s coverage varied by state from 0 to 20 h of education per year, which was often further restricted by health status. In addition, private plans often limited participation to community programs or included spending caps.
The Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services recently started covering intensive behavioral therapy for obesity by physicians. However, this coverage does not include pediatric patients or improved access to other health care professionals or community resources. The coverage is especially limited because it does not include individuals who are overweight or have prediabetes, and few primary care physicians are adequately trained in lifestyle intervention. Despite the clear benefit of group-based lifestyle intervention, most payers do not cover these services for preventing T2D (40).

Lack of a systematic, organized approach to nutrition care within medical practice

A systematic approach to quality improvement (QI) in practices includes addressing nutrition issues. The use of a systematic approach to practice evaluation in the ICU setting shows that better nutrition care practices are associated with improved outcomes. The Vermont Oxford Network developed and implemented evidence-based nutrition support practices for neonates and achieved improved nutrient intake and growth, while reducing length of stay and costs (4). Similarly, Mehta et al (5) showed that pediatric ICU patients with higher energy intake from enteral feeding were at decreased risk of 60-d mortality, whereas patients receiving parenteral nutrition were at increased risk of mortality. They found that the use of a standardized ICU feeding protocol was associated with lower rates of acquired infections (OR: 0.18; 95% CI: 0.05, 0.64), regardless of energy or protein intake. These findings support the use of nutrition protocols to improve outcome.

Another study showed that resident physicians could use QI methods to improve the quality of obesity screening in the ambulatory setting (41). Laiteerapong et al (41) showed that the rate of documentation of anthropometric measurements significantly increased after a QI intervention and remained higher than baseline after 1 y. The documentation of BMI was associated with higher rates of counseling obese patients about diet and exercise. These examples show that quality of care can be improved by the use of guidelines and best practices in both inpatient and outpatient settings.

Needed tools

A resource for both learners and mentors is the Nutrition Curriculum Guide for Training Physicians, a comprehensive and annotated description of critical nutrition objectives for physicians that was derived from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute–initiated Nutrition Academic Award (NAA) (42). The only curriculum including nutrition competencies for residency training is that for Family Medicine programs (43) available through the Association of American Medical Colleges’ MedEdPortal (44). The American Academy of Pediatrics has endorsed Bright Futures, and its nutrition committee publishes a handbook of pediatric nutrition, both of which are available on their website. The American Society of Obstetrics and Gynecology regularly updates their compendium of practice guidelines, which includes nutrition practice guidelines in pregnancy and after bariatric surgery. A case book of nutrition is also regularly updated for medical education and training (45).

Medical trainees now expect resources to be easily accessible online, often with a preference for applications for mobile devices (46), and as a result, printed textbooks and journals are rapidly losing ground to online versions. For example, Modern Nutrition in Health and Disease has a new electronic version (47) and Nutrition in Medicine continues to update modules developed specifically for Web-based delivery (16). Webinars organized by nutrition societies allow for wider dissemination of medical nutrition content. Computer-based instruction is increasingly important because it is readily accessible and content updates can be readily disseminated. Web-based refresher courses developed specifically for postgraduate trainees on specific clinical nutrition skills are available free of charge (16), whereas other online materials, such as a nutrition curriculum for pediatric residents (48), are available only locally. Online examples of curricula with a narrower subspecialty focus, such as pediatric gastroenterology, also cover nutrition content (49).

Industry and foundations provide a range of online content as do government and international groups. Cheston et al (50) conducted a systematic review of the use of social media in education for physicians and physicians in training and found improved knowledge, skills, and attitudes. The authors mentioned that such tools are used to promote learner engagement, feedback, collaboration, and professional development. Thus, media tools may be useful as adjuncts for medical nutrition education and training.

Collaboration with other health care professionals

It is important that physicians work with other health care professionals and learn to recognize when to refer their patients to other health care professionals or community resources. Dietitian consultations improve the provision of energy and nutrients for patients and reduce their length of stay in the ICU (51). Overall, a nutrition support team led by certified clinicians is associated with better health outcomes and reduced medical expenses (52). A redefinition of roles for specific nutrition tasks among different members of the health care team could improve nutrition outcomes.

QUESTION 3: WHAT ARE THE GUIDELINES FOR NUTRITION EDUCATION OF RESIDENTS AND FELLOWS?

The Association of American Medical Colleges developed a Physician Competency Reference Set in 2013, which is a list of common learner expectations used in the training of physicians and other health professionals based on previous Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME)/American Board of Medical Specialties as well as national and international competencies (53). This list consists of 58 competencies in 8 domains, which includes patient care, knowledge for practice, practice-based learning and improvement, interprofessional and communication skills, professionalism, systems-based practice, interprofessional collaboration, and personal and professional development. A method for organizing nutrition learning objectives from the NAA curriculum to show student learning assessment in competency areas has been described (54).

Surprisingly, a review of current ACGME residency program accreditation guidelines found little emphasis on nutrition competencies. Residency training requirements in pediatrics contain the greatest nutritional focus, with 3 references to nutrition, 1 in the section on recommended program personnel (suggesting dietitian involvement in resident education), and 2 in medical knowledge.
Family medicine also includes a recommendation for dietitian involvement in education, although nutrition is mentioned only in the patient care competency. Program requirements for surgery include nutrition under patient care competency, whereas those for Ob-Gyn include nutrition only in the medical knowledge competency. The requirements for internal medicine training programs contain no mention of nutrition. Details on these requirements can be found on the ACGME website (55).

In addition to the competencies developed for family medicine residents (Table 2), several societies have identified nutrition as an important component in the education of future physicians and provided guidelines (eg, American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, American Gastroenterology Association, American Heart Association). The ACGME requirements for residency in education in endocrinology, T2D, and metabolism include showing competence in the care of patients with the nutritional disorders of obesity, anorexia nervosa, and bulimia; evaluation and management of glycemic control; multidisciplinary diabetes education and treatment; and diagnosis and management of lipid and lipoprotein disorders. In addition, there must be close working relationships with dietary and nutrition services. Pediatric surgery and surgical critical care include specific nutrition objectives, whereas other subspecialty areas do not. It is likely that the limited nutrition training of the medical educators involved in creating these discipline-specific competencies has contributed to the limited guidance for training in this area. Increased availability of guidelines for nutrition education, especially in light of the shortage of nutrition specialists, further requires that competencies be developed by relevant professional societies involved with each specialty to ensure the adequacy and accuracy of selected nutrition education.

For example, the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists published position papers addressing the role of endocrinologists in obesity medicine (56) and in clinical nutrition and health promotion (17). Recommendations include the following: 1) developing strategies for improving nutrition education from medical school through practicing clinicians, 2) establishing a nucleus of physicians to create advanced educational programs in clinical nutrition, 3) professional society collaboration, and 4) recognizing the need for physician-directed nutrition practice. The core curriculum for gastroenterology fellowship training, developed in 1996 (57) and maintained by gastroenterology societies in the United States (58), includes a chapter on nutrition. Even though gastroenterologists typically address the nutrition support of their patients, major nutrition training gaps have been found (25, 26, 59, 60). The reasons for suboptimal training in nutrition include a lack of mentors, minimal exposure to clinical nutrition care, and a predominant focus on endoscopy (60). Only 1% of the content in the American Board of Internal Medicine certifying examination for gastroenterology is related to nutrition (61).

A survey of cardiology program directors and fellows (62) showed that only 24% met the Core Cardiovascular Training Symposium guidelines with a dedicated 1-mo rotation in preventive cardiology, 24% had no formalized training in preventive cardiology, and 30% had no faculty with expertise in preventive cardiology. The greatest training obstacle cited by the program directors was lack of time, whereas the greatest obstacle cited by fellows was lack of a developed curriculum. What is readily apparent from this review is that nutrition training guidelines are generally lacking, but even where they exist, adherence is poor. Although there is general recognition of the importance of nutrition knowledge and requisite skills to use in the clinical care of patients, there is little evidence of the successful internalization and adoption of these essential skills.

### QUESTION 4: ARE THERE SUCCESSFUL PROGRAMS/ MODELS OF INTEGRATION OF SUBSPECIALTY TRAINING IN RESIDENCY?

Geriatrics exemplifies a medical subspecialty that has been successfully integrated into medical education (63–67) (Table 3). After a survey of residency programs showing limited clinical instruction in geriatrics, experts and members of key stakeholder organizations met to identify a concise set of essential competencies for residents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
<th>Example of nutrition competencies: family medicine¹</th>
<th>ACGME² competency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nutrition competency</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Patient care</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At the completion of residency training, a family medicine resident should understand general principles of nutrition including the role of nutrition in disease prevention and management and translate general principles into a nutrition care plan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be able to perform a comprehensive nutritional assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medical knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Medical, social, and diet histories</td>
<td></td>
<td>Professionalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Physical examination</td>
<td></td>
<td>Interpersonal and communication skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Anthropometric measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td>Practice-based learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Laboratory tests</td>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be able to counsel patients regarding nutritional recommendations in a culturally sensitive manner</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patient care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use an evidence-based approach to assess the patient’s nutritional status and determine the effectiveness of interventions</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medical knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize patients who are at high risk of nutrition-related complications and refer them to nutrition consultants who can provide counseling and education</td>
<td></td>
<td>Systems-based practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recognize his or her own nutritional biases and make attempts to compensate for possible effects on patient care</td>
<td></td>
<td>Professionalism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Source: reference 43.
² ACGME, Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education.
TABLE 3
Geriatric model: from medical school and residency competencies to training applications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Steps (date)</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landmark events (2000–2001)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford Foundation/AAMC US medical school awards ($n = 40$): to enhance geriatric curriculum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Publications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW Reynolds Foundation: to create a national database of geriatric medicine training and practice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW Reynolds Foundation US medical school awards ($n = 10$): for comprehensive medical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical school competency (2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential domains</td>
<td>Steering committee ($n = 13$)</td>
<td>Curricular documents</td>
<td>52 domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow domains</td>
<td>Steering committee, foundation grant recipients, and educators ($n = 48$)</td>
<td>Survey and online review</td>
<td>23 domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain consensus</td>
<td>Steering committee, foundation grant recipients, and societies’ interest groups ($n = 117$)</td>
<td>Online survey for top 8 domains</td>
<td>8 domains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3–5 Competencies/domain</td>
<td>Each individual in the steering committee review 1 domain ($n = 13$)</td>
<td>Check with other competencies</td>
<td>35 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content validity</td>
<td>Residency and clerkship directors</td>
<td>Online survey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft and evaluate implementation</td>
<td>AAMC/JAHF advisory committee ($n = 98$)</td>
<td>2 domains/group for 4 groups</td>
<td>26 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and changes</td>
<td>Conference AC and participants, steering committee ($n = 93$)</td>
<td>E-mails, online survey, endorse</td>
<td>26 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landmark IOM report (2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Retooling for an Aging America: Building the Health Care Workforce”; critical need to expand geriatrics competence among all physicians</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Publication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential domains</td>
<td>Working group IM and FM academic educators and geriatricians ($n = 8$)</td>
<td>Review clinically relevant medical student competencies</td>
<td>52 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrow domains</td>
<td>Geriatric educators from 36 academic institutions ($n = 100$)</td>
<td>Meeting 3–6 competencies/domain and survey to rate importance</td>
<td>46 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain consensus</td>
<td>Nongeriatric residency educators ($n = 26$)</td>
<td>Rate importance</td>
<td>7 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and changes</td>
<td>Residency program directors and faculty ($n = 12$)</td>
<td>Review, endorse</td>
<td>7 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training applications (2008–2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training medical students (2008)</td>
<td>Fourth-year FM medical students ($n = 158$)</td>
<td>Multisite interdisciplinary, team-based, 1-mo rotation, AAMC GQ evaluation</td>
<td>3/4 agree learned 7 competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training medical residents (2009)</td>
<td>Chief residents from 13 medical and surgical disciplines ($n = 47$)</td>
<td>2-d offsite chief resident training, Train-the-trainer, interdisciplinary, self-assess</td>
<td>Improved knowledge and teaching confidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sources: references 63–67. This effort included support and participants from the AAMC, the Association of Program Directors of Internal Medicine, the Association of Family Medicine Residency Directors, the American Geriatrics Society, the Society of General Internal Medicine, and the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine for recommending expert reviewers; the Donald W Reynolds Foundation; the John A Hartford Foundation; the American Medical Association; the American Board of Family Medicine; various academic centers and affiliated hospitals; and the Mount Sinai School of Medicine, Portal of Online Geriatrics Education. AAMC, Association of American Medical Colleges; AC, advisory committee; FM, family medicine; GQ, Medical School Graduate Questionnaire from the AAMC; IM, internal medicine; IOM, Institute of Medicine; JAHF, John A. Hartford Foundation.*
competencies. In 2007, academic educators and geriatricians from internal medicine and family medicine with experience in geriatrics curriculum development met to develop essential competencies in geriatrics (63). These competencies were to be required for all graduating residents, based on new national competencies established for medical students, allow for standardized evaluation, and further address ACGME competencies. The group recommended competencies that were "1) unique to the care of older patients (ie, not general competencies), 2) feasible within the structure of current residency programs, and 3) endorsed key stakeholder organizations and residency programs." Thus, lessons learned from the geriatric model (63–67) and the NAA award (68) are key in the successful dissemination of nutrition curricula.

**QUESTION 5: WHAT ARE THE BARRIERS TO ADVANCING NUTRITION EDUCATION AND HOW MIGHT THESE BARRIERS BE ADDRESSED?**

Multiple barriers and challenges have been identified in the literature that have contributed to inconsistent and inadequate nutrition education in medical schools and residency programs (69). The ASN surveyed nutrition educators and program directors of all US-accredited residencies to identify critical components of nutrition training during residency (70, 71). The 3 most important components identified included the presence of 1) a qualified nutrition faculty member, 2) a multidisciplinary nutrition support service, and 3) an outpatient nutrition clinic with a registered dietitian. A follow-up survey identified that the single most important feature of a “strong nutrition program” was the presence of a PNS (71). Unfortunately, there is a shortage of PNSs (72). Heimburger et al (73) identified the following contributors to this shortage: 1) a lack of consensus standards for training and certifying PNSs, 2) inadequate institutional support for PNS faculty positions, 3) inadequate third-party reimbursement for components of clinical nutrition practice (eg, obesity management), and 4) the focus of modern medicine was on treatment rather than on wellness and prevention. The authors also noted that the number of available training programs was small and varied in their approach and that the career track for graduates was ill defined. Potential solutions to some of these barriers and novel program approaches are summarized in Table 4, and 2 are discussed in the following sections.

**Examples of programs developing solutions to the lack of PNSs and role models**

The expertise of PNSs is paramount in each of the nutrition specialty areas. With adequate support, PNSs can serve as role models and assist with curriculum development, implementation, and evaluation, while role-modeling effective practice and teaching in both graduate and postgraduate programs. Few dedicated fellowship programs exist that include clinical and academic curricula. Others are based on lectures that are often sponsored by industry and/or professional institutions.

In the absence of more PNSs, role models are needed within each medical specialty. Training models to address this deficiency include a 1-d or multiple-day course immersion, a visiting fellowship with mentors, a course similar to Advanced Cardiac Life Support and Advanced Trauma Life Support, with associated credentialing in nutrition, and a train-the-trainer approach to provide a physician nutrition leader for most residency and fellowship training programs (20). American Society for...
Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition has been developing one such program. After the 2009 American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition summit addressing the PNS shortage, a symposium issue in their journal suggested that programs be tailored to institutional needs and that “toolkits” be developed to assist fellowship programs in designing nutrition and health promotion training programs (74).

Other approaches include the Centers for Obesity Research and Education, 8 nationally recognized centers that joined together to disseminate obesity treatment knowledge to the medical community through continuous education symposia for physicians and allied health professions. The Nestlé Enteral Nutrition Fellowship Program is a month-long intensive course that hosts about 30 residents and fellows annually for training in clinical nutrition. The impact of these approaches in developing new physician nutrition leaders has not been evaluated.

**Examples of initiatives addressing residency nutrition education**

For health care providers to work collaboratively, discipline-specific competencies must be developed, shared, and understood. In addition, clarity of nutrition competencies for each specialty and subspecialty within each of the professions is critical. Resident physicians must be able to identify nutrition risk, initiate nutrition counseling, refer to other health care professionals appropriately, understand and interpret the dietitian’s patient assessment, and write informed and accurate orders.

Many training programs have undertaken initiatives to teach nutrition to medical students and residents and have generally found inadequate prior knowledge or skills in nutrition, but published data about training effectiveness are limited. Model experiences vary from 1-wk exposures to curricula spanning all years of medical training and can be found on the Internet (20). Examples include programs with one or more of the following: advocacy experience with community nutrition providers, personal weight management experience for students including dietary and physical activity assessment and monitoring, training in behavior change models such as motivational interviewing, required rotations with nutrition support services, breastfeeding, or interinstitutional collaboratives (20, 75, 76). Many programs combine curricula in nutrition and physical activity as part of prevention teaching. Some programs assess entering resident counseling skills and assign *Nutrition in Medicine* modules to address identified deficiencies.

**QUESTION 6: HOW DO WE EVALUATE NEW PROGRAMS AND THEIR SUSTAINABILITY?**

Although there are many innovative education programs being developed around the country, evaluation has not been extensive. Measuring instructional efficacy is particularly difficult at the postgraduate level because health care professionals have so little time to spare for the necessary testing, and nutrition competencies can be numerous and complex. Quality assessments of medical postgraduate training programs typically rely on internal evaluations, audits by accreditation organizations, and board examinations, which lack nutrition subscores. A significant problem in regard to the evaluation of nutrition education efficacy is that content mastery is a moving target in this rapidly evolving field, but educational goals are a necessity if content mastery is to be assessed.

Nutrition competencies can be evaluated in several ways, including direct observation by peers, mentors, and other evaluators. This can improve performance through rapid feedback and remediation for the learner. Effective evaluation by raters depends on a clear understanding of the targeted nutrition competencies, which is often lacking. The authors of a recent review of nutrition guidance given to patients by physicians noted that evaluations of counseling practices all too often fall short because they usually address only duration and not specific quality of the interactions (77). An approach that allows the assessment of specific competencies and thus the quality of clinical performance is the recording and independent rating of patient-provider interactions in clinical practice (78). Other investigators have used incognito patients to capture both mastery and actual use of nutrition competencies in clinical practice (79, 80). Ideally, the assessment of instructional efficacy should be validated by relating expected clinical outcomes to the instruction, such as greater weight loss with the teaching of effective counseling techniques (74). At the present time, these remain aspirational goals.

Computer-based assessments can avoid the very high labor cost of detailed nutrition competency assessment. Online follow-up survey questions can be used to determine whether nutrition training has led to change in practice behavior several months later. Instead of the traditional multiple-choice question format for the assessment of knowledge, more innovative online approaches can be used, ranging from gamelike challenges to case-based tasks (81) and even virtual patient interactions (82). The availability of such newer online formats for the assessment of clinical nutrition competencies is still limited and might be a high-priority focus of instructional development.

**SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

We urge the community of health care professionals, educators, and scientists involved in medical nutrition to increase awareness of gaps and advocate for medical nutrition education and training via multiple mechanisms such as the following: 1) student nutrition interest groups across the health professions, 2) presentations at professional societies’ meetings and publications, 3) work with advocacy and policy-oriented groups such as the Bipartisan Policy Center’s Nutrition and Physical Activity Initiative, and 4) review of data from third-payer payment and conduct outcome-based and cost-effective studies.

The level of nutritional knowledge and nutritional skills among the various specialties and subspecialties of medicine and surgery is not commensurate with the role and importance that nutrition has been shown to play in the etiology and management of the most common diseases that now afflict society, particularly those related to obesity and undernutrition. As a starting point and as a bare minimum, we recommend consideration of the following:

1. Each primary specialty, medicine, surgery, pediatrics, Ob-Gyn, and family practice and many subspecialties including particularly gastroenterology, endocrinology, geriatric medicine, critical care, and hospital medicine should formally adopt nutrition competencies expected of their
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2. PNSs are an important resource to “train the trainers” of nutrition in the various specialties and subspecialties, but these desirable adjuncts to enhance the likelihood of success in achieving the nutrition goals above are contingent on the development of formal training programs necessarily funded by the government with subsequent career paths supported by academic training programs and/or government training subsidy.

3. Nutrition and Obesity Research Centers, funded by the NIH, represent a unique resource, and all such units should be required to have an educational core to assist in sharing nutritional expertise at their host institution and potentially at other institutions in their geographic area.

4. There is an important role for the ACGME, which should assume leadership to assist in ensuring the level of nutritional competence of specialists and subspecialists in conjunction with the various specialty boards.

5. Because the law permits the secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to authorize Medicare coverage for services rated A or B by the USPSTF, which affects other payers’ coverage, we urge the development of an expert panel that includes a variety of health care professionals to conduct a systematic review of nutrition intervention care in a variety of settings.
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