Abdominal adipose tissue compartments vary with ethnicity in Asian neonates: Growing Up in Singapore Toward Healthy Outcomes birth cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Background: A susceptibility to metabolic diseases is associated with abdominal adipose tissue distribution and varies between ethnic groups. The distribution of abdominal adipose tissue at birth may give insights into whether ethnicity-associated variations in metabolic risk originate partly in utero.

Objective: We assessed the influence of ethnicity on abdominal adipose tissue compartments in Asian neonates in the Growing Up in Singapore Toward Healthy Outcomes mother-offspring cohort.

Design: MRI was performed at ≤2 wk after birth in 333 neonates born at ≥34 wk of gestation and with birth weights ≥2000 g. Abdominal superficial subcutaneous tissue (sSAT), deep subcutaneous tissue (dSAT), and internal adipose tissue (IAT) compartment volumes (absolute and as a percentage of the total abdominal volume) were quantified.

Results: In multivariate analyses that were controlled for sex, age, and parity, the absolute and percentage of dSAT and the percentage of sSAT (but not absolute sSAT) were greater, whereas absolute IAT (but not the percentage of IAT) was lower, in Indian neonates than in Chinese neonates. Compared with Chinese neonates, Malay neonates had greater percentages of sSAT and dSAT but similar percentages of IAT. Marginal structural model analyses largely confirmed the results on the basis of volume percentages with controlled direct effects of ethnicity on abdominal adipose tissue; dSAT was significantly greater (1.45 mL; 95% CI: 0.49, 2.41 mL; P = 0.003) in non-Chinese (Indian or Malay) neonates than in Chinese neonates. However, ethnic differences in sSAT and IAT were NS [3.06 mL (95% CI: −0.27, 6.39 mL; P = 0.0712) for sSAT and −1.30 mL (95% CI: −2.64, 0.04 mL; P = 0.057) for IAT in non-Chinese compared with Chinese neonates, respectively].

Conclusions: Indian and Malay neonates have a greater dSAT volume than do Chinese neonates. This finding supports the notion that in utero influences may contribute to higher cardiometabolic risk observed in Indian and Malay persons in our population. If such differences persist in the longitudinal tracking of adipose tissue growth, these differences may contribute to the ethnic disparities in risks of cardiometabolic diseases. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT01174875.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past 2 decades, research on the developmental origins of health and disease has suggested that a susceptibility to metabolic diseases may originate early in life (1, 2). The maternal
METHODS

In adults, adipose tissue compartments, particularly in the abdomen, are associated with risks of metabolic and cardiovascular diseases (5, 6). Although most studies have shown strong associations between visceral adipose tissue and insulin resistance and metabolic diseases, deep subcutaneous adipose tissue has increasingly been recognized to be metabolically relevant, similar to the importance of visceral adipose tissue, both in diabetic and nondiabetic individuals. Abdominal deep subcutaneous tissue (dSAT)19 was recently reported to be strongly related to insulin resistance and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes (7–9). dSAT is distinctly separated from superficial subcutaneous adipose tissue by Scarpa’s fascia (10–12); it differs morphologically and biologically from abdominal superficial subcutaneous tissue (sSAT) by its association with a more-proinflammatory, -lipogenic, and -lipolytic profile (13, 14).

Ethnic differences in adiposity between South Asian and European adults have been reported in many studies. Compared with Europeans, South Asians are more insulin resistant (15–19) despite their lower BMI. Studies in Singapore have shown that ethnic Indian (South Asian) adults have greater insulin resistance, larger waist circumferences, and higher risks of type 2 diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease than do ethnic Malays and Chinese (20–22). Increased adiposity and hyperinsulinemia, which are suggestive of an increased susceptibility to metabolic diseases in adulthood, have been observed even in South Asian school-age children (23, 24) and infants (25, 26). However, information has been sparse on how early in life such ethnic differences in adiposity emerge, especially with respect to regional adipose tissue distribution.

Previous studies have generally relied on skinfold thicknesses and air displacement plethysmography using PEA POD Infant Body Composition System version 3.1.0 (Cosmed) as measures of body composition. In one of the few studies that used MRI to quantify adipose tissue compartments, Modi et al. (27) reported that, in 69 neonates from Pune, India, and London, the Indian neonates had greater regional adiposity in all 3 abdominal adipose tissue compartments [AATCs; i.e., sSAT, dSAT, and abdominal internal adipose tissue (IAT)] than that of their European counterparts. We hypothesized that the quantity and distribution of AATCs at birth would vary in Asian ethnic groups. We tested this hypothesis in Asian neonates in the GUSTO (Growing Up in Singapore Toward Healthy Outcomes) birth cohort (28).

19Abbreviations used: AATC, abdominal adipose tissue compartment; dSAT, abdominal deep subcutaneous tissue; GUSTO, Growing Up in Singapore Toward Healthy Outcomes; IAT, abdominal internal adipose tissue; sSAT, abdominal superficial subcutaneous tissue; TAV, total abdominal volume; WS, water suppressed.

Subjects

To be recruited into the GUSTO study, mothers had to be ≥18 y of age and intend to deliver in one of the 2 main public maternity units (i.e., the KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital or the National University Hospital) and to reside in Singapore for the next 5 y. Subjects, partners, and their parents had to be of the homogenous ethnic background from one of the following major ethnic groups in Singapore: Chinese, Malay, or Indian/South Asian (the last group is termed Indian henceforth in this report). A principal components analysis of the genotype data for the newborns in our study confirmed that the 3 ethnic groups are well separated genetically (29).

We approached 1115 of 1162 GUSTO mothers who attended the 32–34-wk antenatal ultrasound scan. The flow diagram of this study is shown in Supplemental Figure 1. Only 478 mothers (43%) gave signed consent to have neonatal MRI scans taken of their neonates. Healthy neonates born at ≥34 wk of gestational age with birth weight ≥2000 g were eligible for MRI scanning. A total of 379 eligible healthy neonates underwent an MRI at ≤2 wk after birth (mean ± SD: 10 ± 3 d). Sixty-two neonates were ineligible for MRI as a result of requiring special care (n = 52), requiring neonatal intensive care (n = 7), and having been born at <34 wk of gestation. The remaining 37 neonates were unable to undergo MRI because consent was revoked by parents or because of an inconvenience to come for an MRI scan (n = 30), and inability to get an MRI slot (n = 4), dropping out of the study (n = 2), or being unable to contact (n = 1). Forty-six data did not pass our initial quality control for the analysis. Therefore, complete data sets for this analysis were obtained in 333 neonates. Neonatal feeding was classified into 3 groups as follows: exclusive or predominant breastfeeding if the neonate received only breast milk and water; partial breastfeeding if the neonate received both breastfeeding and formula; and total formula feeding if the neonate was fully formula fed.

Ethics

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Singapore National Health care Group and the Centralized Institutional Review Board of SingHealth. Parents of the neonates gave written consent.

MRI

Nonsedated neonates were fed and swaddled, placed in an immobilization bag 5–10 min into their sleep, and positioned supine within an adult head coil. The abdomen was scanned from the diaphragm to the symphysis pubis. T1-weighted water-suppressed (WS) and non-WS axial fast-spin echo sequences were acquired by GE Signa HDxt 1.5 tesla magnetic resonance scanner (GE Healthcare) with the use of a 600-ms repetition time, a 7-ms echo time, a 22-cm field of view, 3 excitations, a 256 × 256 matrix interpolated to 512 × 512, a phase-encoding direction anterior-posterior, a 70% phase field of view, and an
echo train length of 7- and 5-mm contiguous slices. Approximately 34–36 slices provided ~18 cm coverage, which was sufficient to encompass the neonate’s abdomen. Pulse and oxygen saturation amounts of the neonate were monitored in the presence of a neonatologist. WS images were processed to yield quantitative values of abdominal adipose tissue volumes. Non-WS images were used to assist in the localization of anatomical structures if necessary.

**Definition of AATCs**

For consistency with previous publications, we defined the abdominal region for the analysis from the level of the diaphragm to the superior aspect of the sacrum (27, 30). The main outcome measure, abdominal adipose tissue, was categorized into the following 3 compartments: sSAT, dSAT, and IAT. sSAT had a clear anatomical outline that followed the contours of the abdominal image slices. dSAT that was located on the interior margin of the left and right posterior sSAT was distinctly separated from the sSAT by a fascial plane. IAT was the internal fat contained within the abdominal region (Figure 1). IAT includes intraperitoneal, retroperitoneal, intermuscular, as well as paravertebral and intraspinal fat within the abdominal region.

**Quantification of AATC volume**

WS images simplified the assessment of MR signals from adipose tissue by suppressing the signals from nearby nonfat anatomical structures. Images were processed with the use of in-house semiautomated quantitative analysis software (MATLAB 7.13; The MathWorks Inc.) on the basis of morphologic image-analysis operations. A watershed transformation of local signal SDs yielded an initial segmentation of subcutaneous and internal adipose tissue compartments from the background. This initial step was not completely accurate in all slices because of image artifacts (e.g., partial voluming or the presence of unsuppressed feed). Therefore, sSAT and IAT segmentations were optimized by manually reassigning or removing automatically assigned voxel groups on the basis of the anatomical judgment of the analysts. dSAT was manually separated from sSAT and IAT by drawing 2 regions of interest at the right and left posterior aspects of the abdominal wall, which followed the contours of the separating fascial plane (Figure 1). AATC volumes were generated by multiplying the number of respective segmented voxels within each image slice by the voxel dimensions (typically 0.4 × 0.4 × 5.0 mm) except for voxels located at tissue boundaries, which were tallied as one-half of a voxel. This process recognized that boundary voxels are strictly shared between the enclosed and surrounding tissues or spaces and also ensured that tallied volumes were independent of image voxel size. The abdominal adipose tissue volume for each compartment was derived from the sum of the volumes in each slice from the level of diaphragm to the superior aspect of the sacrum. The total abdominal volume (TAV) was calculated as the volume enclosed by the outermost sSAT boundaries. When open (at the umbilicus; Figure 1), this boundary was closed with a convex hull operation. Abdominal compartment volumes were also expressed as percentages of TAV.

**Reproducibility of image analysis**

All MRI images were analyzed by a physician and an experienced magnetic resonance physicist, both of whom received intensive and ongoing training by a radiologist. Both the physician and physicist were blinded to all subject information including ethnicity. Mean interobserver coefficients of variation were 1.57 for sSAT, 3.23 for dSAT, and 2.06 for IAT. Mean intraobserver coefficients of variation were 0.88 for sSAT, 2.12 for dSAT, and 3.98 for IAT.

**Statistics**

Ethnicity was categorized as Chinese, Malay, or Indian. We used 2 models of multiple linear regression to assess the influence of ethnicity on AATCs. We controlled for neonatal factors that, on the basis of prior knowledge from the literature, are associated with neonatal adiposity: sex, age on MRI day, and parity. Girls are known to have greater adiposity than that of boys even at birth (31–33). Increasing parity is associated with increasing neonatal adiposity in Asians as well as in Western populations (34, 35). Gestational age and postnatal age have also been shown to be associated with increasing weight and adiposity (33, 35). Because MRI was performed at 2–18 postnatal days, we included postnatal age as a covariate. There has been some evidence that

![FIGURE 1](image1.png)  
Original abdominal water-suppressed MRI (left) and the results of the segmentation of abdominal adipose tissue compartments (right). Each compartment is filled with a different color as follows: green denotes superficial subcutaneous tissue, orange denotes the right and left deep subcutaneous tissue, and magenta denotes the internal adipose tissue.
Analyses were carried out with the use of SAS version 9.3 software (SAS Institute). All other statistical analyses were performed with the use of SPSS Statistics for Windows software (version 21.0; IBM Corp.).

RESULTS

There were no significant differences in characteristics (birth weight, gestational age, parity, and mother prepregnancy BMI) of neonates who had neonatal MRI and neonates who did not undergo MRI.

Neonatal MRI scans were completed for 333 neonates as follows: 180 boys (54.1%), 153 girls (45.9%); 146 Chinese neonates (43.8%), 126 Malay neonates (37.8%), and 61 Indian neonates (18.3%). Table 1 summarizes the demographic and clinical data for the 3 ethnic groups. sSAT, dSAT, and IAT volumes were similar in neonates of primiparous mothers and in neonates of multiparous mothers [mean ± SD: 76.5 ± 20.6 compared with 78.9 ± 22.6 mL (P = 0.348), 13.1 ± 5.4 compared with 13.5 ± 5.7 mL (P = 0.482), and 22.8 ± 6.8 compared with 22.7 ± 8.1 mL (P = 0.942), respectively]. Neonates who were exclusively or predominantly breastfed had AATC volumes similar to those of neonates who received partial or total formula feeding [mean ± SD: 80.2 ± 19.4 compared with 81.3 ± 25.7 mL (P = 0.794), 13.5 ± 4.9 compared with 14.2 ± 7.2 mL (P = 0.482)].

TABLE 1
Characteristics of neonates who had MRI during the neonatal period in the GUSTO cohort study (n = 333)1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th>Malay</th>
<th>Indian</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects, n</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight, g</td>
<td>3149 ± 4572</td>
<td>3122 ± 405</td>
<td>3061 ± 429</td>
<td>0.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, wk</td>
<td>38.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>38.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>38.8 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age on MRI day, d</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>10 ± 3</td>
<td>9 ± 3</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s age, y</td>
<td>31 ± 5</td>
<td>29 ± 6</td>
<td>29 ± 5</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother’s prepregnancy BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>21.6 ± 3.7</td>
<td>24.5 ± 5.7</td>
<td>24.5 ± 5.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (%)</td>
<td>M 76 (52.1)</td>
<td>72 (57.1)</td>
<td>32 (52.5)</td>
<td>0.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity (n = 328), n (%)</td>
<td>M 63 (44.1)</td>
<td>46 (37.1)</td>
<td>21 (52.5)</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>118 (16.9)</td>
<td>13 (10.7)</td>
<td>11 (19.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types of neonatal feeding (n = 320), n (%)</td>
<td>118 (83.1)</td>
<td>109 (89.3)</td>
<td>45 (80.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusive/predominant breastfeeding</td>
<td>24 (16.9)</td>
<td>13 (10.7)</td>
<td>11 (19.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial/formula feeding</td>
<td>24 (16.9)</td>
<td>13 (10.7)</td>
<td>11 (19.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1P values were determined with the use of ANOVA omnibus F tests for continuous variables and the chi-square test for categorical variables. GUSTO, Growing Up in Singapore Toward Healthy Outcomes.
2Mean ± SD (all such values for continuous variables).
3Derived from the ratio of adipose tissue volume of each compartment and the total abdominal volume.

TABLE 2
Abdominal adipose tissue volumes and volume percentages in 3 ethnic groups: crude (unadjusted) results (n = 333)1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Abdominal adipose tissue compartment volumes, mL</th>
<th>Abdominal adipose tissue,2 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sSAT</td>
<td>dSAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese (n = 146)</td>
<td>77.1 ± 21.5</td>
<td>12.8 ± 5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malay (n = 126)</td>
<td>77.9 ± 21.1</td>
<td>13.6 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian (n = 61)</td>
<td>80.4 ± 23.8</td>
<td>14.3 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1All values are means ± SDs. P values were determined with the use of a linear regression model. dSAT, abdominal deep subcutaneous tissue; IAT, abdominal internal adipose tissue; sSAT, abdominal superficial subcutaneous tissue.
2Derived from the ratio of adipose tissue volume of each compartment and the total abdominal volume.

Breastfed neonates may show somewhat different early neonatal weight-loss and -regain patterns (36, 37). However, gestational age and types of neonatal feeding were not adjusted in the models because these variables could be causal intermediates between ethnicity and abdominal adipose tissue volumes. However, we also performed 2 sensitivity analyses; the first analysis was conducted by restricting the analysis to neonates born between 37–41 completed weeks of gestation, and the second analysis was conducted by including types of neonatal feeding as a covariate. In addition, we did not adjust for birth weight in the model because birth weight is likely a causal pathway between ethnicity and adipose tissue volumes. To account for the lower birth weight of Indian and Malay (compared with Chinese) neonates, we carried out a second multiple linear regression model in which the AATC volume was expressed as a percentage of the TAV. Finally, we also used marginal structural models on the basis of inverse-probability weighting for a percentage of the TAV. Finally, we also used marginal structural models on the basis of inverse-probability weighting for a percentage of the TAV.
TABLE 3
Pearson’s correlations between abdominal adipose tissue compartment volumes and birth weight in 3 ethnic groups of neonates who had MRI (n = 333)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sSAT</th>
<th>dSAT</th>
<th>IAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All correlations were significant at P < 0.001. dSAT, abdominal deep subcutaneous tissue; IAT, abdominal internal adipose tissue; sSAT, abdominal superficial subcutaneous tissue.

(P = 0.517), and 24.8 ± 7.7 compared with 22.8 ± 9.2 mL (P = 0.189) for sSAT, dSAT, and IAT, respectively).

In multivariate analyses that were adjusted for ethnicity, age on MRI day, and parity, both sSAT and dSAT were significantly greater in Malay neonates than in Chinese neonates. However, volume percentages for sSAT and dSAT were significantly greater for Malay and Indian neonates, whereas volume percentages for IAT showed no ethnic differences. Table 3 shows the correlation between birth weight and AATC volumes in the 3 ethnic groups. All 3 AATC volumes were highly correlated with birth weight in all 3 ethnic groups (P < 0.001 for all correlations). Table 4 shows the differences in AATC volumes between ethnic groups. The descriptive statistics of AATC volumes are shown in Table 4. No crude ethnic differences were observed in sSAT or dSAT absolute volumes, whereas IAT volumes were significantly smaller in Malay neonates than in Chinese neonates. However, volume percentages for sSAT and dSAT were significantly greater for Malay and Indian neonates, whereas volume percentages for IAT showed no ethnic differences. Table 3 shows the correlation between birth weight and AATC volumes in the 3 ethnic groups. All 3 AATC volumes were highly correlated with birth weight in all 3 ethnic groups (P < 0.001 for all correlations).

DISCUSSION
We observed significant differences in neonatal abdominal adiposity in the 3 Asian ethnic groups in Singapore. Despite having lower mean birth weights, Indian and Malay neonates had significantly greater dSAT volumes than did Chinese neonates even after adjusting for confounding factors that may have influenced adiposity.

Several studies have reported that Indian infants preserve their adiposity despite having a lower birth weight, waist circumference, and fat-free mass than do British infants (23–26, 39). However, most of those studies were based on skinfold thicknesses or air-displacement plethysmography as the measures of body composition. An exception was the study by Modi et al. (27), which used MRI to quantify regional abdominal adipose tissue volumes.

Although our findings that Indian neonates who have relatively greater sSAT and dSAT are consistent with those of Modi et al. (27), we did not observe differences in IAT in Chinese, Malay, and Indian neonates. Several explanations are possible. First, Modi et al. compared Indian infants from Pune, India, and European infants from London, whereas our study compared 3 Asian ethnic groups (Indian, Malay, and Chinese) in Singapore. Second, our Indian infants had lower birth weights than those of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sSAT</th>
<th>dSAT</th>
<th>IAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malay</td>
<td>0.57 (−4.69, 5.81)</td>
<td>0.85 (−0.51, 2.21)</td>
<td>−1.51 (−3.20, 0.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>4.22 (−2.19, 10.63)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.12, 3.44)</td>
<td>−2.40 (−4.57, −0.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1All values are regression coefficients (adjusted differences); 95% CIs in parentheses. Values were determined with the use of a general linear model. The model was adjusted for sex, age on MRI day, and parity. P values were determined with the use of a multiple linear regression model. dSAT, abdominal deep subcutaneous tissue; IAT, abdominal internal adipose tissue; sSAT, abdominal superficial subcutaneous tissue.

2Derived from the ratio of adipose tissue volume of each compartment and the total abdominal volume.
Chinese and Malay infants. The correlation between IAT and birth weight for Indian infants was strong \((r = 0.65)\). The null controlled direct effect of Indian ethnicity on IAT in the marginal structural model (which removed the effect mediated through birth weight) suggested that the total effect was mediated by birth weight. Finally, technical differences in IAT methodologies may also explain the different results because the software algorithms used were different. In our in-house semiautomated software, sSAT and IAT were automatically generated and subsequently optimized by manually reassigning or removing automatically assigned voxel groups on the basis of the analysts’ anatomical judgements. dSAT was manually defined by the analysts. Therefore, the absolute volumes of the various AATCs we reported may not be directly comparable with those of Modi et al. (27).

Our study adds substantially to the information available on the quantity and distribution of abdominal adipose tissue in neonates. Few previous research studies have measured the regional or abdominal adipose tissue volume in neonates. To our knowledge, our study is one of the first trials to explore intra-abdominal adipose tissue distribution at birth. The sample sizes of previous studies that used MRI were much smaller (the largest sample size was 69) than ours \((n = 333)\). To our knowledge, only our study and that of Modi et al. (27) measured dSAT in neonates. Our Indian neonates had higher dSAT than that of Chinese and Malay neonates. These differences may have long-term implications and may predispose adults of South Asian ethnicity to higher cardiometabolic risk.

Our study sheds light on the early manifestation of ethnic differences in abdominal adiposity, supporting the hypothesis that ethnic variation in adipose tissue distribution may in part originate in utero (i.e., is not solely a consequence of behavioral or lifestyle factors in childhood or adulthood). It is important to see if these differences persist in the later measures of abdominal adipose tissue by MRI, which are planned for the GUSTO cohort. However, because we required consent to be given by the parents for MRI of their neonates and, therefore, had MRI for a subset of 333 neonates of the cohort, it may be difficult to generalize the findings to those who did not have MRI, although there were no significant differences in the characteristics of neonates of these 2 groups.

In conclusion, we advise caution in generalizing our findings to the entire Singapore population and especially in other settings. Thus, additional confirmatory studies are required. The longitudinal tracking of adipose tissue growth over the life course in Asian and other ethnic groups should contribute to an understanding of the impact of ethnic variation in adiposity on subsequent risk of metabolic diseases.
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